

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT	`		
AIRS ID#: 0170361 D	ATE: <u>03/31/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1419</u>	DEPART: <u>1500</u>		
FACILITY NAME: BROWN FUNERAL HOME					
FACILITY LOCATIO	N: 5430 W. Gulf to Lake	e Road			
	LECANTO 34461				
OWNER/AUTHORIZ	ED REPRESENTATIVE: R	ICHARD BROWN PHO	ONE: (352)795-0111		
CONTACT NAME:	RICHARD BROWN	PHO	ONE: (352)795-0111		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/24/2007 / 5/24/2012 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTIO	N COMPLIANCE STATUS NCE MINOR Non-CO		CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	3	
DADT II. TECTING/D	ECODD/EEDING DEOLID	DEMENTS Dale (2.20/40)	LEAC		
PART II: TESTING/R (check ☑ appropri	ECORDKEEPING REQUIR ate box(es))	<u> EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.40	1, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected?			☐ Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropri Were there any of Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.) 	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during to	his site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropri Were there any of Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.) In order to demodays prior to the 	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? nissions test conducted during teleprocess nstrate individual source comp AGP Notification form submis	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date?	Yes ⊠ No	
 (check ☑ appropri Were there any of Was a visible em 62-297, F.A.C.) In order to demo days prior to the (Rule 62-296.40) In order to demo 	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? dissions test conducted during the second seco	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date?	□Yes □ No	
(check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of the control of the contro	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? dissions test conducted during the second seco	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (In particular to below the requirements of 1	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? clicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) loo parts per million by	□Yes □ No	
(check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of the control of the contro	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? classions test conducted during the composition of the submission of the composition of the composi	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (For below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? clicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method	Yes NoYes NoYes NoYes NoYes No	
(check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of the control of the contro	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? dissions test conducted during to elements. nstrate individual source comp AGP Notification form submis 1(5)(i), F.A.C.) nstrate individual source comp in 60 days prior to the AGP No exide (CO) emissions equal to elements. strate individual source comp in 60 days prior to the AGP No exide (CO) emissions equal to elements. strate individual source comp in 60 days prior to the AGP No exide (CO) emissions equal to elements. strate individual source comp in 60 days prior to the AGP No exide (CO) emissions equal to elements. strate individual source comp in 60 days prior to the AGP No exide (CO) emissions equal to elements. strate individual source comp in 60 days prior to the AGP No exide (CO) emissions equal to elements.	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (For below the requirements of For burly average basis and tested as sequal to or below the require	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? Clicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)?	Yes NoYes NoYes NoYes NoYes No	
(check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of the control of the contro	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? dissions test conducted during the composition of the submission of the composition of the composit	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (If or below the requirements of I urly average basis and tested a lethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 as equal to or below the require and to 7% O ₂ and tested according	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? Clicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)?	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No	
(check appropri	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? dissions test conducted during the second state individual source compounts and the second state of	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible of ssion, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (If or below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested at 1 to 0 to 1 to 1 to 1 to 2 to 2 to 2 to 2 to 2	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? Clicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)?	Yes No	
(check ☑ appropri 1. Were there any of the content of the conten	ate box(es)) objectionable odor(s) detected? dissions test conducted during the composition of the AGP Notification form submisted individual source composition of the AGP Notification form submisted in the composition of the AGP Notification form submisted in the composition of the AGP Notification form of the AGP Notification of the composition of the AGP Notification of the composition of the compo	his site visit according to EPA liance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior liance were the remaining app tification form submission? (If or below the requirements of 1 urly average basis and tested a lethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297) a equal to or below the require led to 7% O ₂ and tested according ource operating at the manufactory bmission of a test report for an ior to the date of the last form	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? clicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 7, F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ng to EPA Method 5 turers recommended n identical crematory unit? al compliance test?	Yes No Yes No	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
of of the first of the state of	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	□Yes □ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	□Yes □ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	□Yes □ No
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions:	
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tire	ma
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	△ 1es □ No
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600 °F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?	
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	⊠Yes □ No
	Mx
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	□xz
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A. New or Modified Process Equipment	– Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.			
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without 				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially di recent notification form?	lifferent than that noted on the most			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?				
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?				
Joseph V. Panetta				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: Note: Boxes left unchecked do not apply.				
Spoke with Richard Brown. Looked at Maintenance Schedules, February and March 2009. VE was conducted 03/31/2009. Unit	, Preventitive Maintenance Schedules. Looked at charts from t not operating at the time of inspection. Unit is Mathews power Pak			